GB

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKETAGE	
00/67/ 101	00/00/00	THE TABLE BY ENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/676,181	09/29/2000	Mary Anne Alvin	00P7968US	1545
7590 02/25/2004			EXAMINER	
Elsa Keller			HEINDICH	PANGUEL N
Siemens Corpor	ration		HEINRICH,	SAMUEL M
186 Wood Aver			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Iselin, NJ 088	30		1725	
			DATE MAILED: 02/25/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/676,181	ALVIN, MARY ANNE
Since Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
The MAILING DATE AND	Samuel M Heinrich	1725
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH:	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication.
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
1 A VET		
/L3 ·····	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	Exparts Quarte 1025 Q.D. 4	s, prosecution as to the merits is
	x parte Quayie, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12 and 19</u> is/are rejected.		
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-18</u> is/are objected to.		
8)☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner		
10) The drawing(s) filed on 22 August 2003 is/are: a	2) 🖂	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	a) accepted or b) object	ed to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the di	rawing(s) be neld in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	on is required if the drawing(s) is	s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
	ininer. Note the attached Of	fice Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p	oriority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	9(a)-(d) or (f)
a) All b) Some * c) None of:		(4) (4) (7).
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.	
Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Applic	cation No.
oples of the certified copies of the priority	y documents have been rece	eived in this National Stage
application from the International Bureau ((PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	f the certified copies not rece	ived.
ttoohmout(s)		
Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summa	ary (PTO-413)
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SP/09) 	Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa	Date Patent Application (PTO-152)
Faper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	ж г алент Аррисацоп (PTO-152)
Patent and Trademark Office OL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office Action	on Summary	•

Application/Control Number: 09/676,181

Art Unit: 1725

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 2-4 and 11 recite the limitations "said oxidative resistive layer" or "said oxide resistive layer" in base claim 1 or in intermediate claim 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by USPN 5,453,108 to Isaksson et al. Isaksson et al describe hot gas filtration apparatus (DETX (20)) "filter elements may be coated ... catalytic material".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2, 3, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over USPN 5,453,108 to Isaksson et al as applied to claim 1 above and further in view of USPN 5,460,637 to Connolly et al. Connolly et al describe (BSTX (10)) the use of "mullite". The use thereof in the filter apparatus described by Isaksson et al would have

Application/Control Number: 09/676,181

Art Unit: 1725

been obvious at the time applicant's invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art because the mullite is described by Connolly et al as a known filter construction material.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over USPN 5,453,108 to Isaksson et al as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of USPN 6,273,925 to Alvin et al. Alvin et al describe (DETX (13)) the use of "alumina" as a coating layer. The use of alumina in the Isaksson et al filter would have been obvious at the time applicant's invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art because the alumina is a well known protective layer.

Claims 5-10 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over USPN 5,453,108 to Isaksson et al as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of USPN 5,944,859 to Lippert et al. Lippert et al describe (DETX (20)) "ceramic felt mat, continuous fibers, reticulated foam monolithic ceramic thin filter, or ceramic composite thin filter". The use of instant claimed forms and shapes would have been obvious at the time applicant's invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art because Lippert et al and Isaksson et al are well known hot gas filter structures.

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over USPN 5,453,108 to Isaksson et al as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of USPN 6,110,860 to Inoue et al. Inoue et al describe (BSTX (4)) well known catalyst for gas purification "consisting of metal oxide or the like". The use of the well known gas purification catalyst in the Isaksson et al apparatus would have been obvious at the time

Art Unit: 1725

applicant's invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art because the material is well known as a filter catalyst.

Allowable Subject Matter.

Claims 13-18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samuel M Heinrich whose telephone number is 571-272-1175. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas G Dunn can be reached on 571-272-1171. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Samuel M Heinrich Primary Examiner Art Unit 1725